REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23 are pending. No claims are amended herein. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Claims in light of the discussion set forth below.

35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kadanka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,621,308) in view of newly cited art to Mietus (U.S. Patent No. 5,666,046). Applicants have reviewed the recited references and respectfully submit that the present invention, as is recited in Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23, is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Kadanka and Mietus, either alone or in combination.

The Examiner's attention is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1 which recites that an embodiment of the Applicant's invention includes a band-gap reference circuit, comprising:

> ...a band-gap reference unit; buffer circuit electronically coupled with said band-gap reference unit; and a voltage pull-up device electronically coupled between said band-gap reference unit and said buffer circuit, wherein said voltage pull-up device acts to reduce a required supply voltage to maintain a band-gap reference voltage and wherein said voltage pull-up device is implemented as a transistor with a VBE of less than 1.0 volts.

Claims 7 and 16 contain limitations similar to those contained in Claim 1. Claims 2-4, and 6 depend from claim 1 and recite further features of the claimed invention. Claims 8, 11-13 and 15 depend from claim 7 and recite further features of the claimed invention. Claims 15-21 and 23 depend from claim 7 and recite further features of the claimed invention.

NSC-P05052/JPH/RAR Examiner: Cunningham, Terry D. Art Unit: 2816 Serial No.: 09/970,297

Kadanka et al. does not anticipate or render obvious a band-gap reference circuit that includes "a voltage pull-up device electronically coupled between said band-gap reference unit and said buffer circuit, wherein said voltage pull-up device acts to reduce a required supply voltage to maintain a band-gap reference voltage and wherein said voltage pull-up device is implemented as a transistor with a VBE of less than 1.0 volts" as is recited in the Claims. Kadanka et al. only shows an electrical apparatus for providing a reference signal that includes a regulator portion that provides a substantially constant current. The examiner appears to be equating the voltage regulator that is disclosed by Kadanka et al. with the pull up device that is recited in the Applicants Claims. It should be appreciated that a voltage regulator and a pull up device are distinct devices (and are recognized in the art as such) with each device having separate and distinct implementations and functionality. A pull up device is used to pull a node up to a particular voltage when it is active while a voltage regulator functions to provide a constant voltage to components of a circuit. Accordingly, the pull up device that is recited in Applicant's Claim 1 is not readable on a voltage regulator such as that disclosed by Kadanka et al. In fact, nowhere in the Kadanka et al. reference is a pull up device such as that set forth in Claim 1 and disclosed in the Applicant's specification shown or suggested. Consequently, the embodiments of the Applicant's invention as are set forth in Claims 1, 7 and 16 are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Kadanka et al.

Mietus in combination with Kadanka et al. does not overcome the deficiencies of Kadanka et al. noted above. Mietus does not anticipate or render obvious a band-gap reference circuit

NSC-P05052/JPH/RAR Examiner: Cunningham, Terry D. Serial No.: 09/970,297 3 Art Unit: 2816

that includes "a voltage pull-up device electronically coupled between said band-gap reference unit and said buffer circuit, wherein said voltage pull-up device acts to reduce a required supply voltage to maintain a band-gap reference voltage and wherein said voltage pull-up device is implemented as a transistor with a VBE of less than 1.0 volts" as is recited in the Claims. In fact, nowhere in the Mietus et al. reference is a pull up device such as that set forth in Claim 1 and disclosed in the Applicant's specification shown or suggested. Consequently, the embodiments of the Applicant's invention as are set forth in Claims 1, 7 and 16 are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Kadanka et al. in view of Mietus.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submit that Kadanka et al. in view of Mietus does not anticipate or render obvious the present claimed invention as recited in Claims 1, 7, and 16 and, as such, Claims 1, 7, and 16 overcomes the basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 7, and 16 are in condition for allowance. In addition, Applicants respectfully submit that Kadanka et al. in view of Mietus does not anticipate or render obvious the present invention as is recited in Claims 2-4 and 6 which depend from independent Claim 1, Claims 8, 11-13 and 15 which depend from independent Claim 7, and Claims 15-21 and 23 which depend from independent Claim 16, and that Claims 2-4, 6, 8, 11-13, 15-21 and 23 are also in condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the remaining claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the pending Claims.

NSC-P05052/JPH/RAR Examiner: Cunningham, Terry D. Art Unit: 2816 4

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Date: 5/20/04

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 48,098

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Two North Market Street, 3rd Floor

San Jose, California 95113

(408) 938-9060

Examiner: Cunningham, Terry D. NSC-P05052/JPH/RAR Serial No.: 09/970,297 5 Art Unit: 2816